

potential feeder link earth station complexes; and (5) the number of non-GSO MSS operators that could establish feeder link earth stations within the top 100 MSAs.

With respect to the location of non-GSO MSS feeder link stations, the proposed rule contemplates that only Motorola would be allowed to select feeder link earth station sites within the top 100 MSAs because, as noted above, Motorola is the only non-GSO MSS applicant which has proposed to use the 27.5-29.5 GHz band for its feeder links and because the other non-GSO MSS applicants have indicated during the negotiated rulemaking that if they were required to use the 27.5-29.5 GHz band, their earth station complexes would likely be located outside of major metropolitan areas. Other non-GSO MSS operators would have the option of utilizing either the locations identified by Motorola, or selecting locations that are consistent with the general provision of proposed Section 21.1002(c)(6)(i) (i.e., anywhere that is outside of 75 miles from the boundaries of the top 100 MSAs), provided that they are utilizing different frequencies from Motorola. These restrictions are necessary to minimize the encumbrance, or even the appearance of any encumbrance, on LMDS operations in major markets. As for the Motorola sites, it is important to recognize that the number of feeder link earth station complexes allotted to a given MSA range is not an arbitrary number, but reflects a number that Motorola and Suite 12/CVNY find mutually agreeable and prudent in terms of maximizing use of the 28 GHz spectrum by separate, viable pro-consumer services. At any point after it selects its eight feeder link earth station complex locations, Motorola would have the ability to substitute a different location consistent with proposed Section 21.1002(c)(6)(i).

An important assumption underlying this rule is that Motorola would be the only non-GSO MSS operator licensed to use the 29.1-29.3 GHz band. Motorola is of the view that it will not be possible for the IRIDIUM System to share feeder link spectrum on a co-frequency basis with other non-GSO MSS systems. However, even if co-frequency sharing between Motorola and other non-GSO MSS operators were feasible, it would still be undesirable because it would preclude the option of other non-GSO MSS operators being able to locate their gateway and satellite control stations within the same top 100 MSAs selected by Motorola due to the separation distances that would likely be required.

Establishing a rule which explicitly accommodates Motorola's gateway and satellite control station needs is justified from a public interest standpoint for several reasons. First, it is important to recognize that one of the fundamental underpinnings of the co-frequency sharing agreement reflected in NRM/C/49 is that the amount of spectrum subject to a potential encumbrance from the standpoint of LMDS operators is limited to 400 MHz. If this amount were increased, LMDS operators would likely not find this co-frequency sharing arrangement acceptable. At the same time, if Motorola were to face the prospect of having to compete against other non-GSO MSS operators for access to the 29.1-29.3 GHz band, then it could not agree to a sharing plan that limits the amount of spectrum available to non-GSO MSS operators in the 27.5-29.5 GHz band to only 400 MHz.

Second, it is reasonable for Motorola to be the only non-GSO MSS operator licensed to use the 29.1-29.3 GHz band because it is the only such operator to have planned for use of this band. As noted above, of the current group of five applicants who filed non-GSO MSS above 1 GHz applications about four years ago, Motorola is the only one who proposed to operate feeder links in the 27.5-29.5 GHz band. As explained in NRM/C/32, the 29.1-29.3 GHz portion of that band was selected primarily on the basis of global spectrum occupancy in order to facilitate coordination around the world and, in fact, the ITU coordination of this spectrum for the IRIDIUM System has been in progress for over two years. NRM/C/32 also explains that in designing the Application Specific Integrated Circuit to be used in IRIDIUM System feeder link equipment, the bandwidth was limited to this 200 MHz segment because wideband circuits to cover the full 27.5-29.5 GHz band would not meet spacecraft design requirements. Thus, any change in the IRIDIUM System frequency plan at this juncture would cause substantial delays in the ITU coordination process and require significant redesign efforts, with corresponding delays in the initiation of service to the public.

Finally, establishing a rule which gives Motorola the flexibility to select feeder link earth station sites within the top 100 MSAs is reasonable because Motorola is the only non-GSO MSS operator which has identified an operational requirement to locate feeder link earth stations within such markets and because limiting the number of major markets subject to a potential encumbrance is a major factor in making the proposed rules acceptable to LMDS interests.

In order to provide potential LMDS operators with certainty regarding the possible encumbrances on the spectrum in major markets prior to the commencement of spectrum auctions for LMDS licenses, Motorola would be required under Section 21.1002(c)(5) to identify the eight MSAs within which it might locate gateway or satellite control stations at least 45 days prior to the commencement of LMDS auctions. (The reason Section 21.1002(c)(5) specifically lists the Phoenix and Honolulu MSAs is that Motorola has already determined that a satellite control stations will be located in Chandler, AZ, and in Waimea on the island of Oahu.) It is anticipated that the FCC would make this information on Motorola's site selections available by public notice. Similar information might be provided with respect to sites selected by other non-GSO MSS operators, pursuant to the general provision of Section 21.1002(c)(6)(i).

The information provided by Motorola and possibly other non-GSO MSS operators prior to the LMDS license auctions would be a set of geographic coordinates in a given MSA or, if known at that time, the coordinates of the individual earth stations. In most cases, such earth station-specific coordinates are not expected to be available at that time due to unknown variables such as site acquisition and zoning or the fact that a particular MSA is intended as the site of a future gateway based on system growth. In fact, the need for such flexibility in siting those earth stations in part forms the basis for the 75 nautical mile "feeder link earth station complex protection zone" specified in Section 21.1002(c)(4). Within this zone, LMDS receive stations operating on frequencies in the 29.1-29.5 GHz band would have to accept any interference caused to them by feeder link earth stations and would not be able to claim protection from such earth stations. Outside of this 75 nautical mile zone, LMDS and feeder link earth station operators would be required to engage in a coordination.

The provision that non-GSO MSS feeder link earth stations may not be located within 75 nautical miles of the boundaries of the top 100 MSAs is designed to eliminate potential interference to LMDS receive stations in those larger MSAs.

Section 21.1002(c)(7) also attempts to minimize the impact on LMDS operations by requiring all non-GSO MSS earth station licensees to provide the affected LMDS licensees with copies of their channel plans. This would provide the LMDS operator with information on the

specific frequencies within the 29.1-29.5 GHz band that are subject to potential interference and thereby enable them to maximize their use of the spectrum by arranging their channel plans so that those frequencies are used for transmissions which need not be of the highest quality.

Rule 2:

This rule reflects the fact that proposed rule 21.1004 on the content and form of LMDS applications, as set forth in the first NPRM in this proceeding (NRMCM/2), does not provide for a technical exhibit. Among other things, it is anticipated that the LMDS applicant would be supplying its antenna patterns as part of this requirement. To the extent that it is necessary for non-GSO MSS operators to provide technical information pursuant to any proposed rules which are also incorporated into Part 25, they would be subject to a parallel requirement.

Rule 3:

This rule must be read in conjunction with the note to Rule 6 on hub transmitter EIRP spectral area density limits. By establishing a build-out requirement of 25%, the rules will have the flexibility needed to provide for lower hub densities in less densely populated BTAs. Without this provision, an unreconcilable conflict may arise between the limits in rules 6 and 7 and a requirement to serve more than 25 percent of the population. This conclusion has been reached based on detailed examination of population density patterns, allowable numbers of LMDS hubs per service area as constrained by rules 6 and 7 and the expected coverage of a single LMDS hub in the various climate regions in the U.S. Further, under a spectrum auction licensing scheme, a 25 percent build-out requirement should be sufficient to address concerns about the warehousing of spectrum.

Rule 4:

This rule establishes an EIRP limit on point-to-point LMDS backbone links. The lower EIRP provided for in this rule is necessary because the maximum allowable EIRP in existing rule section 21.107(b) (i.e., 55 dBW) would cause unacceptable interference to IRIDIUM satellite receivers. As explained in NRMCM/36, sharing between MSS feeder links and LMDS backbones is feasible with a reasonable EIRP because backbone terminals are expected to be relatively few in number.

Rule 5:

This rule prohibits subscriber units from transmitting in the 29.1 to 29.5 GHz frequency band and is based on the conclusion that sharing is not possible for subscriber links transmitting in the same frequency band in which a non-GSO MSS satellite receives signals from the feeder link earth station. The rationale for this conclusion is as follows:

1. Subscriber units are typically high power and have high antenna gains. In some cases, even only one subscriber unit pointing toward the satellite would cause unacceptable interference. See Attachment I to WG2 Report (NRM-82).
2. Subscriber units typically point to the horizon or point upwards where the hub antennas typically point to the horizon or downward. Pointing upwards makes sharing less possible whereas pointing downward aids in sharing. See Attachment I to WG2 Report (NRM-82).
3. There are many more subscribers than there are hubs. There could be several hundred subscribers for each hub. Each of these subscribers is a potential source of interference if pointed toward the satellite.
4. The number of hubs will generally be well defined by the LMDS system operator, allowing interference calculations to the satellite to be made. The number of subscriber transmitters and their antenna pointing is basically unknown as it is a variable. This means that the interference to the satellite cannot be calculated. This was the conclusion of the technical analysis in section 4 of the Working Group 2 Final Report.
5. A rule concerning the transmission from subscriber units in the satellite frequency band would be unenforceable. As a practical matter, the ultimate responsibility for detection of harmful interference to the satellite would rest with the satellite operator. It would be impossible to track down the source of interference from potentially millions of subscriber transmitters in the antenna footprint of the satellite.

The prohibition on subscriber units from transmitting in the designated feeder link portion of the band should not be considered a band segmentation scheme. Rather, it is a channel plan. All of the LMDS systems operate in a full duplex mode where hub and subscriber transmissions are on different frequencies. This rule allows up to 60% of band B to be used for subscriber-to-hub links (28.5 - 29.1 GHz) and 100 % of the band (28.5 - 29.5 GHz) to be used for hub-to-subscriber links. No LMDS system has demonstrated a need for more than 60% of the band to be used for subscriber transmissions.

Rule 6:

The limits established in this rule for power spectral density radiated toward the horizon on a per-unit-area basis are intended to allow LMDS hub emissions at or below the level which would result in unacceptable interference into the IRIDIUM satellite receiver. The summation procedure used to implement the rule allows the LMDS operator some flexibility in using a mix of antennas and transmitter power levels to serve subscribers. The three different limit values (for climate regions 1, 2 and 3/4/5) are due to the different water vapor absorption levels expected on the path between potentially interfering LMDS hubs and the satellite receiver in the three different climate regions. Where absorption is the highest (region 1), higher LMDS power levels can be accepted.

The Note below Table 1 in Rule 6 is intended to allow the trading of credits for LMDS power density per unit area between service areas to allow a higher likelihood that LMDS operators can reach their service goals than would be the case if the limits in Table 1 are strictly imposed in every service area (BTA). The mechanics of implementation for the procedure suggested in the note have not been resolved. While said mechanics are expected to be cumbersome, the flexibility afforded by the adjustments between service areas is an imperative if LMDS is to be commercially viable with the limits imposed in Table 1.

Rule 7:

This rule is similar in intent to Rule 6, where Rule 6 addresses LMDS power radiated toward the horizon, and Rule 7 addresses LMDS power radiated at elevation angles from the horizon to zenith. The "shape" of the EIRP mask in Rule 7 is intended to match a physically realizable antenna. The rule is structured as a power density mask, rather than as an antenna mask, to give LMDS operators "credit" for squinting antennas down or

employing antennas with better sidelobe suppression than assumed in the construction of the rule.

Rule 8:

This rule helps facilitate co-frequency sharing by reducing the average power levels received by non-GSO MSS satellite receivers. In the analyses that led to the construction of Rules 3, 6 and 7, it was apparent that without the LMDS employment of alternate polarizations and frequency interleaving, or some alternate method of aggregate interference reduction, one or both of the following would occur: (1) interference at the satellite receiver at levels exceeding the maximum level acceptable to Motorola; and/or (2) significantly reduced service to LMDS subscribers either by limiting service to a smaller number of hubs than necessary to serve given areas in a commercially viable fashion or by limiting coverage areas of individual hubs.

These effects may arise because the limits imposed in Rules 6 and 7 simultaneously place the interference into the satellite at the maximum level acceptable to Motorola and limit the number of LMDS hubs deployable in a given service area to a number which may constrain the availability of LMDS services to an undesirably low level. Since the first problem is unattractive to satellite service providers and the second is unattractive to LMDS service providers, both parties benefit by an LMDS operators' use of the techniques set forth in Rule 9 in that the potential problems are more likely avoided. Finally, since there may be other techniques unknown to those who drafted the rules that may accomplish the same effect, subsection (c) allows the employment of other techniques in place of alternate polarizations and frequency interleaving as long as those techniques accomplish the same effect.

Rule 9:

This rule inserts appropriate definitions into Part 21 of the Commission's rules.

6.2 RECOMMENDATIONS

The Joint Parties recognize that three of the five current applicants for non-GSO MSS system licenses have requested spectrum below 15 GHz for their feeder links and that one has sought spectrum at 29.5-30.0 GHz. These applicants were identified by the Commission as interested parties in the instant proceeding. The Joint Parties also

recognize that the Commission will determine, in other proceedings, whether the applicants who requested spectrum below 15 GHz will be required to use spectrum in the 27.5-29.5 GHz band for their feeder links.

Because of the public interest benefit in implementing both LMDS and MSS, Working Group 2 sought to accommodate sharing of frequencies by LMDS and non-GSO MSS feeder links. However, in order to ensure the operation of both services with a minimum of interference, the Joint Parties recommend that the Commission identify spectrum outside the 27.5-29.5 GHz band, and preferably in the frequency ranges requested by the applicants, for use for feeder links by Constellation Communications, Inc., Loral QUALCOMM Partnership, L.P., Mobile Communications Holdings, Inc. and TRW. It is noted, however, that if the 29.1-29.5 GHz band is combined with the 29.5-30.0 GHz band, it should be possible to accommodate at least two non-GSO MSS operators at Ka-band.

6.3 RULES

1. Amend proposed rule section 21.1002 by adding new subsection (c) as follows:

21.1002 Frequencies

(c) Special requirements for operations in the band 29.1-29.5 GHz.

(1) Non-geostationary mobile satellite service (non-GSO MSS) operators who filed applications by the cut-off date of June 3, 1991 established by Public Notice dated April 1, 1991 (Report No. DS-1068) shall use the 29.1-29.5 GHz band for Earth-to-space transmissions from feeder link earth station complexes to the extent these operators need or are required to use the 27.5-29.5 GHz band. For purposes of this subsection, a "feeder link earth station complex" may include up to three (3) earth stations, with each earth station having up to four (4) antennas, located within a radius of 75 nautical miles of a given set of geographic coordinates provided by a non-GSO MSS operator pursuant to subsections (c)(5) or (c)(6)(i).

(2) Each non-GSO MSS operator may concurrently operate a maximum of eight (8) feeder link earth station complexes in the contiguous United States, Alaska, and Hawaii.

(3) Except for certain occasional satellite control operations, no non-GSO MSS earth station operator may have an occupied bandwidth of more than 200 MHz at any single feeder link earth station complex.

(4)(i) LMDS receive stations operating on frequencies in the 29.1-29.5 GHz band within a radius of 75 nautical miles of the geographic coordinates provided by a non-GSO MSS operator pursuant to subsections (c)(5) or (c)(6)(i) (the "feeder link earth station complex protection zone") shall accept any interference caused to them by such earth station complexes and shall claim no protection from such earth station complexes.

(ii) LMDS licensees operating on frequencies in the 29.1-29.5 GHz band outside a feeder link earth station complex protection zone shall cooperate fully and make reasonable efforts to resolve technical problems with the non-GSO MSS operator to the extent that transmissions from the non-GSO MSS operator's feeder link earth station complex interfere with an LMDS receive station.

(5) At least 45 days prior to the commencement of LMDS auctions, the non-GSO MSS applicant within the processing group defined in subsection (c)(1) who proposed, in its initial application, to utilize a portion of the 27.5-29.5 GHz band for its feeder link earth stations shall specify a set of geographic coordinates for its feeder link earth station complexes in accordance with the following requirements: no feeder link earth station complex may be located in the top eight (8) metropolitan statistical areas ("MSAs"), ranked by population, as defined by the Office of Management and Budget as of June 1993, using estimated populations as of December 1992; two (2) complexes may be located in MSAs 9 through 25, one of which must be Phoenix, AZ (for a complex at Chandler, AZ); one (1) complex may be located in MSAs 26 to 50; three (3) complexes may be located in MSAs 51 to 100, one of which must be Honolulu, Hawaii (for a complex at Waimea); and the two (2) remaining complexes must be located at least 75 nautical miles from the borders of the 100 largest MSAs or in any MSA not included in the 100 largest MSAs. Any location allotted for one range of MSAs may be taken from an MSA below that range.

(Note: This rule can be amended so as to list the eight sets of coordinates after they are identified.)

(6)(i) Any non-GSO MSS operator may at any time specify sets of geographic coordinates for feeder link earth station complexes with each earth station contained therein to be located at least 75 nautical miles from the borders of the 100 largest MSAs.

(ii) For purposes of subsection (c)(7)(i), non-GSO MSS feeder link earth station complexes shall be entitled to accommodation only if the affected non-GSO MSS operator preapplies to the Commission for a feeder link earth station complex or certifies to the Commission within sixty days of receiving a copy of an LMDS application that it intends to file an application for a feeder link earth station complex within six months of the date of receipt of the LMDS application.

(iii) If said non-GSO MSS operator's application is filed later than six months after certification to the Commission, the LMDS and non-GSO MSS entities shall still cooperate fully and make reasonable efforts to resolve technical problems, but the LMDS licensee shall not be obligated to re-engineer its proposal or make changes to its system.

(7) LMDS licensees or applicants proposing to operate hub stations on frequencies in the 29.1-29.5 GHz band at locations outside of the 100 largest MSAs or within a distance of 150 nautical miles from a set of geographic coordinates specified under subsection (c)(5) or (c)(6)(i) shall serve copies of their applications on all non-GSO MSS applicants, permittees or licensees meeting the criteria specified in subsection (c)(1). Non-GSO MSS licensees or applicants shall serve copies of their feeder link earth station applications on any LMDS applicant or licensee within a distance of 150 nautical miles from the geographic coordinates that it specified under subsection (c)(5) or (c)(6)(i). Any necessary coordination shall commence upon notification by the party receiving an application to the party who filed the application. The results of any such coordination shall be reported to the Commission within sixty days. The non-GSO MSS earth station licensee shall also provide all such LMDS licensees with a copy of its channel plan.

(Note: The foregoing rule should also be implemented by making the appropriate modifications to Part 25 of the Commission's Rules.)

2. Amend proposed rule section 21.1004 by adding new subsection (b)(5)(x) as follows:

21.1004 Content and Form of Applications.

(b)(5)(x). Exhibit X: Demonstration of Compliance with Technical Rules

3. Amend proposed rule section 21.1007(c)(i) by substituting the following language:

21.1007(c)(i) The boundaries of the GSA must include 25% of the population of the BTA.

4. Add new rule section 21.1018 as follows:

21.1018 LMDS Single Station EIRP Limit. Point-to-point stations in the 29.1 -29.5 GHz band for the LMDS backbone between LMDS hubs shall be limited to a maximum allowable EIRP per carrier of 23 dBW/MHz in any one megahertz in clear air, and may exceed this limit by employment of adaptive power control in cases where link propagation attenuation exceeds the clear air value and only to the extent that the link is impaired.

(Note: The foregoing rule should also be implemented by making the appropriate modification or deletion to Section 21.107(b)).

5. Add new rule section 21.1019 as follows:

21.1019. LMDS Subscriber Transmissions. LMDS licensees shall not operate transmitters from subscriber locations in the 29.1 - 29.5 GHz band.

6. Add new rule section 21.1020 as follows:

21.1020 Hub Transmitter EIRP Spectral Area Density Limit. LMDS applicants shall demonstrate that, under clear air operating conditions, the maximum aggregate of LMDS transmitting hub stations in a Basic Trading Area in the 29.1-29.5 GHz band will not transmit a co-frequency hub-to-subscriber EIRP spectral area density in any azimuthal direction in excess of $X \text{ dBW}/(\text{MHz}\cdot\text{km}^2)$ when averaged over any 4.375 MHz band, where X is defined in Table 1. Individual hub stations may exceed their clear air EIRPs by employment of adaptive power control in cases where link

propagation attenuation exceeds the clear air value and only to the extent that the link is impaired.

The EIRP aggregate spectral area density is calculated as follows:

$$10 \log \left(\frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^N P_i G_i \right) \text{ dBW / MHz} - \text{km}^2$$

where:

N = number of co-frequency hubs in BTA

A = Area of BTA in km²

P_i = spectral power density into antenna of i-th hub (in W/MHz)

G_i = gain of i-th hub antenna at zero degree elevation angle

Each P_i and G_i are in the same 1 MHz

The climate zones in Table 1 are defined for different geographic locations within the US as shown in Appendix 28 of the ITU Radio Regulations and Section 25.254 of the Commission's Rules.

Table 1

Climate Zone	EIRP Spectral Density (Clear Air) (dBW/MHz-km ²)*
1	-23
2	-25
3,4,5	-26

* See Section 21.1007(c)(i) for the population density of the BTA

(**Note:** LMDS system licensees in two or more BTAs may individually or collectively deviate from the spectral area density computed above by averaging the power over any 200 km by 400 km area, provided that the aggregate interference to the satellite receiver is no greater than if the spectral area density were as specified in Table 1. A showing to the Commission comparing both methods of computation is required and copies shall be served on any affected non-GSO MSS providers.)

7. Add new rule section 21.1021 as follows:

21.1021 Hub Transmitter EIRP Spectral Area Density Limit at Elevation Angles Above the Horizon. LMDS applicants shall

demonstrate that, under clear air operating conditions, the maximum aggregate of LMDS transmitting hub stations in a Basic Trading Area in the 29.1 - 29.5 GHz band will not transmit a co-frequency hub-to-subscriber EIRP spectral area density in any azimuthal direction in excess of X dBW/(MHz-km²) when averaged over any 4.375 MHz band, where X is defined in table 2. Individual hub stations may exceed their clear air EIRPs by employment of adaptive power control in cases where link propagation attenuation exceeds the clear air value and only to the extent that the link is impaired.

The EIRP aggregate spectral area density is calculated as follows:

$$10 \log \left(\frac{1}{A} \sum_{i=1}^N EIRP(a_i) \right) \text{ dBW / MHz} - \text{km}^2$$

where:

N = number of co-frequency hubs in BTA

A = Area of BTA in km²

EIRP(a_i) = equivalent isotropic radiated spectral power density of the i-th hub (in W/MHz) at elevation angle a

Table 2

Elevation Angle (a)	Relative EIRP Density (dBW/MHz-km ²)
0° ≤ a ≤ 4.0°	EIRP(a) = EIRP(0°) + 20 log(sin πx)(1/πx) where x = (a + 1)/7.5°
4.0° < a ≤ 7.7°	EIRP(a) = EIRP(0°) - 3.85a + 7.7
a > 7.7°	EIRP(a) = EIRP(0°) - 22

where a is the angle in degrees of elevation above horizon. EIRP(0°) is the hub EIRP area density at the horizon used in Section 21.1020. The nominal antenna pattern will be used for elevation angles between 0° and 8°, and average levels will be used for angles beyond 8°, where average levels will be calculated by sampling the antenna patterns in each 1° interval between 8° and 90°, dividing by 83.

(Note: See note to 6 above.)

8. Add new rule section 21.1022 as follows:

21.1023 Power Reduction Techniques. LMDS hub transmitters shall employ methods to reduce average power levels received by non-GSO MSS satellite receivers, to the extent necessary to comply with Sections 21.1020 and 21.1021, by employing the methods set forth below:

(a) Alternate Polarizations. LMDS hub transmitters in the LMDS service area may employ both vertical and horizontal linear polarizations such that 50 percent (plus or minus 10 percent) of the hub transmitters shall employ vertical polarization and 50 percent (plus or minus 10 percent) shall employ horizontal polarization.

(b) Frequency Interleaving. LMDS hub transmitters in the LMDS service area may employ frequency interleaving such that 50 percent (plus or minus 10 percent) of the hub transmitters shall employ channel center frequencies which are different by one-half the channel bandwidth of the other 50 percent (plus or minus 10 percent) of the hub transmitters.

(c) Alternative Methods. As alternatives to (a) and (b) above, LMDS operators may employ such other methods as may be shown to achieve equivalent reductions in average power density received by non-GSO MSS satellite receivers.

21.1024 Additional Coordination Obligation. The two LMDS operators in each LMDS service area shall coordinate their polarizations and channelizations so as to minimize interference to one another.

9. Modify Rule Section 21.2 by inserting new definitions in the appropriate alphabetical order as follows:

Local Multipoint Distribution Service Hub Station. A fixed point-to-multipoint radio station in a Local Multipoint Service System that provides one-way or two-way communication with Local Multipoint Distribution Service Subscriber Stations.

Local Multipoint Distribution Service System. A fixed point-to-multipoint radio system consisting of Local Multipoint Distribution Service Hub Stations and their associated Local Multipoint Distribution Service Subscriber Stations.

Local Multipoint Distribution Service Subscriber Station. Any one of the fixed microwave radio stations located at users' premises, lying within the coverage area of a Local Multipoint Distribution Service Hub Station, capable of receiving one-way communications from or providing two-way communications with the Local Multipoint Distribution Service Hub Station.

Local Multipoint Distribution Service Backbone Link. A point-to-point radio service link in a Local Multipoint Distribution Service System that is used to interconnect Local Multipoint Distribution Service Hub Stations with each other or with the public switched telephone network.

ATTACHMENT 1

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

6378.0	earth radius (km)				
7158.0	earth radius & sat. altitude (km)		PARAMETERS FOR A 0°	0.0	
			ELEV. ANGLE TO SATELLITE:	63.0	
1221.0	footprint length @ 10° elevation (km)			27.0	
200.0	footprint width @ 10° elevation (km)			63.0	
				3249.3	
111.3	1° of circumference (km)				
10.0	hub spacing (km) Zone 1	6.2	hub spacing miles		
100.0	hub area (km^2)				
22263.4	"swath" area (km^2)				
222.6	no. of hubs in one "swath"				
111.3	no. of hubs co-frequency/swath				
type	Hub Transmitting station				
29.0	frequency of operation (GHz)				
20.0	TWTA Saturated Output (dBW)				
-7.0	TWTA Backoff (dBW)				
-16.9	Band split 49 channels (dB)				
-3.9	Hub Xmtr Power/Channel (dBW)				
-1.0	Ant Feed Losses (dB)				
-4.9	Channel power to Antenna (dBW)				
-72.6	18 MHz Power Bandwidth (dBW-Hz)				
5.6	Peaking Factor (dB)				
-71.9	Xmtr spectral density/hub (dBW/Hz)				
-22.9	Peak Spectral Area Density (dBW/MHz-km^2)		Io/No (dB)	-13.3	
	"-71.9+80+12+10log(#co frequency channels/22263)"		Interference Margin	0.3	

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

[illegible]

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

6378.0	earth radius (km)				
7158.0	earth radius & sat. altitude (km)			PARAMETERS FOR A 0°	0.0
				ELEV. ANGLE TO SATELLITE:	63.0
1221.0	footprint length @ 10° elevation (km)				27.0
200.0	footprint width @ 10° elevation (km)				63.0
					3249.3
111.3	1° of circumference (km)				
12.5	hub spacing (km) Zone 2	7.8	Hub spacing miles		
156.3	hub area (km^2)				
22263.4	"swath" area (km^2)				
142.5	no. of hubs in one "swath"				
71.2	no. of hubs co-frequency/swath				
type	Hub Transmitting station				
29.0	frequency of operation (GHz)				
20.0	TWTA Saturated Output (dBW)				
-7.0	TWTA Backoff (dBW)				
-16.9	Band split 49 channels (dB)				
-3.9	Hub Xmtr Power/Channel (dBW)				
-1.0	Ant Feed Losses (dB)				
-4.9	Channel power to Antenna (dBW)				
-72.6	18 MHz Power Bandwidth (dBW-Hz)				
5.6	Peaking Factor (dB)				
-71.9	Xmtr spectral density/Hub (dBW/Hz)				
-24.8	Peak Spectral Area Density (dBW/MHz-km^2)		Io/No (dB)	-12.8	
	-71.9+60+12+10log(#cofreq hubs/22263)		Interference Margin	-0.2	

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

[illegible]

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

6378.0	earth radius (km)				
7158.0	earth radius & sat. altitude (km)			PARAMETERS FOR A 0°	0.0
				ELEV. ANGLE TO SATELLITE:	63.0
1221.0	footprint length @ 10° elevation (km)				27.0
200.0	footprint width @ 10° elevation (km)				63.0
					3249.3
111.3	1° of circumference (km)				
15.0	hub spacing (km) zones 3-5	9.3	Hub spacing miles		
225.0	hub area (km^2)				
22263.4	*swath* area (km^2)				
98.9	no. of hubs in one *swath*				
49.5	no. of hubs co-frequency/swath				
type	Hub Transmitting station				
29.0	frequency of operation (GHz)				
20.0	TWTA Saturated Output (dBW)				
-7.0	TWTA Backoff (dBW)				
-16.9	Band split 49 channels (dB)				
-3.9	Hub Xmtr Power/Channel (dBW)				
-1.0	Ant Feed Losses (dB)				
-4.9	Channel power to Antenna (dBW)				
-72.6	18 MHz Power Bandwidth (dBW-Hz)				
5.6	Peaking Factor (dB)				
-71.9	Xmtr spectral density/Hub (dBW/Hz)				
-26.4	Peak Spectral Area Density (dBW/MHz-km^2)		Io/No (dB)	-13.0	
	-71.9+60+12+10log(#co-frequency hubs/22263)		Interference Margin	0.0	

Suite 12 Hubs into Iridium

[illegible]

NRMC Members Supporting
Suite 12 - Motorola 28 GHz Sharing Rule
September 30, 1994

Jim Schell
Representative

[Signature]
Representative

Ritter on, Inc
Organization

Suite 12 / CVM 42P
Organization

[Signature]
Representative

W. Tim Campbell
Representative

mm - Tech Inc
Organization

Bell Atlantic
Organization

Maureen J. Reynolds
Representative

[Signature]
Representative

F-VA
Organization

UTDA
Organization

John T. Kunkle
Representative

Pamela Hallen
Representative
International Communications
Engineering Inc and
GHz Equipment Co. Inc
Organization

Motorola Satcom
Organization

NRMC Members Supporting
Suite 12 - Motorola 28 GHz Sharing Rule
September 20, 1994

Sam Robinson
Representative
w changes of 9/21/94

Texas Instruments
Organization

Richard Empton
Representative
Excluding G.

Avoca Laboratories
Organization

Representative

Organization

Representative

Organization

John Sullivan
Representative

Public Interest Parties
*SEE ATTACHMENT Organization

Representative

Organization

Representative

Organization

Representative

Organization

The "Public Interest Parties" are a group comprised of the following entities: Association of America's Public Television Stations; Public Broadcasting Service; Organization of State Broadcasting Executives; American Council on Education; Commission on Information Technologies of the National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges; Instructional Telecommunications Consortium of the American Association of Community Colleges; Arizona Board of Regents for Benefit of the University of Arizona; Alliance for Higher Education; Iowa Public Broadcasting Board; University of Maine of Augusta; University of Wisconsin System; Washington State University; South Carolina Educational Television Commission; Ana G. Mendez Educational Foundation; Western Cooperative for Educational Telecommunications; California State Polytechnic University, Pomona; California State University, Sacramento; University of Arizona; Northern Arizona University; University of Washington; University of Hawaii System; University of California System; Alliance for Distance Education in California; Troy State University in Montgomery.

Recommendations for Equitable Sharing
of the 28 GHz Band

Recommendations:

1. The proscription and limitation on LMDS subscribers terminals should be evenly distributed across Bands A and B. In particular, the rules affecting LMDS operations within 75 miles of the Non-GSO MSS feeder link earth stations should structure band limits such that half of the Non-GSO MSS allocation is included in Band A and half in Band B.
2. LMDS build-out requirements should be consistent with other such requirements in the Rules, and should therefore be on the order of 70% within 5 years.
3. No rules - including those related to mitigation techniques such as power reduction techniques - should be established that would require licensees to pay royalties or negotiate relief from patent holders.
4. The industry should commit itself to further exploring mitigation techniques and more extensive statistical modeling - including those proposed in MIT 1.7 - to more completely understand the issues related to sharing in the 28 GHz band.

Chandan Banerjee and Aaron Dagen
NYNEX Science & Technology, Inc.
500 Westchester Avenue
White Plains, N.Y. 10604
(914) 644-2530